1	HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONI		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
8	AT SEATTLE		
9	STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,		
10	Plaintiffs,		
11	v.		
12	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF	No. 2:20-cv-00111-RAJ	
13	STATE, et al., Federal Defendants.	INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS' NOTICE	
14		REGARDING SCOPE OF RELIEF	
15	and NATIONAL SHOOTING SPORTS		
16	FOUNDATION, INC., FREDRIC'S ARMS & SMITHS, LLC,		
17	Intervenor-Defendants.		
18	intervenor-Derendants.		
19			
20	INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS' NOTICE REGARDING SCOPE OF RELIEF		
21	Intervenor-Defendants National Shooting Sports Foundation, Inc. ("NSSF") and Fredric's		
22	Arms & Smiths, LLC respectfully submit this notice regarding the scope of relief in response to		
23	the post-hearing submissions of the State Plaintiffs (ECF No. 89) and the Federal Defendants		
24	(ECF No. 91).		
25	Both the State Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants now agree, consistent with legal limits on		
26	the scope of preliminary injunctive relief (see Intervenor-Defs.' Resp. to Mot. for Prelim. Inj.,		

INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS' NOTICE REGARDING SCOPE OF RELIEF - 1

Case No. 2:20-cv-00111-RAJ

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP 2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 (202) 887-4000 ECF No. 83, at 14–17), that (assuming the Court decides that any relief is warranted) a narrower injunction addressing *only* the State Plaintiffs' alleged harms would be "appropriate" and "feasible." Further, both the State Plaintiffs and the Federal Defendants now propose narrower injunctions designed to maintain the existing level of regulatory restrictions on 3D-Firearms Files *while permitting the remainder of the challenged Rules to take effect as scheduled*. Subject to the comments below, the Intervenor-Defendants support a remedy narrowed in the manner the Federal Defendants suggest or, in the alternative, in the manner the State Plaintiffs suggest.

The Federal Defendants indicate that the Court could order that "the Department of Commerce maintain the same level of regulation of the export of 3-D firearms files through posting on the Internet that existed prior to the Final Rules," and they provide an example of interim rulemaking that would implement this order. ECF No. 91 at 4, ECF No. 91-1. The Intervenor-Defendants support this remedy, which would redress the harms the State Plaintiffs allege while avoiding irreparable harm to NSSF and its members.

Alternatively, the State Plaintiffs offer a proposed order designed to be "narrowly tailored to preserve the status quo as to the Munitions List items at issue in this case." ECF No. 89-1 at 1. If the Court disagrees with the Federal Defendants' suggested remedy, the Intervenor-Defendants support the State Plaintiffs' proposed order, with three discrete modifications. First, the relevant technical data should encompass only the data needed to produce firearms or firearm parts using a 3D printer, as distinct from other devices. Second, the relevant technical data should encompass data "directly related to" the production of firearms or firearm parts (as the State Department's regulations currently provide), as distinct from any technical data "related to" firearms or firearm parts or that "can be used" to produce these items. See 22 C.F.R. § 121.1(I)(i). Third, the definitions of "technical data" and "software" should simply use the same definitions already provided in the State Department's ITAR regulations. See id. § 120.10, 120.45.

and its members.¹

The Intervenor-Defendants attach (as Exhibit 1) a copy of the State Plaintiffs' proposed order indicating the surgical revisions needed to effectuate their goal of maintaining the status quo with respect to the 3D-Firearm Files. With these revisions, the State Plaintiffs' proposed order would redress the State Plaintiffs' alleged harms while avoiding irreparable harm to NSSF

Without waiving any rights to appeal an injunction that harms NSSF or its members, the Intervenor-Defendants support a remedy narrowly tailored to the State Plaintiffs' alleged harms, as indicated above. Indeed, any preliminary injunction enjoining the Rules more broadly would exceed this Court's authority.

12

14

16

17

20

23

24 25

26

¹ To the extent the Federal Defendants "have concerns about the feasibility of severing regulation of 'technical data' from the regulation of the underlying defense articles," ECF No. 91 at 2, Intervenor-Defendants point out that the revised USML (set to go into effect March 9, 2020) already provides for such regulation: USML Category I(i) includes "classified technical data directly related to items controlled in ECCNs 0A501, 0B501, 0D501, and 0E501." 85 Fed. Reg. 3819, 3830 (Jan. 23, 2020). ECCN 0A501 is the Commerce Department designation at issue here.

1	DATED this 5th day of March, 2020.	
2		
3	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
4	/ <u>s/ Pratik A. Shah</u> Pratik A. Shah, D.C. Bar No. 497108	By: <u>/s/ Ross Siler</u> Ross Siler, WSBA # 46486
5	(pro hac vice)	920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300
6	/s/ James E. Tysse	Seattle, WA 98104-1610 Telephone: (206) 757-8120
7	James E. Tysse, D.C. Bar No. 978722 (pro hac vice)	Fax: (206) 757-7120 E-mail: ross.siler@dwt.com
8	/s/ Rachel Bayefsky	
9	Rachel Bayefsky, N.Y. Bar No. 5426481 (pro hac vice)*	
10	,	
11	2001 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006	
12	Telephone: 202-887-4000 Fax: 202-887-4288	
13	E-mail: pshah@akingump.com	
14	* Licensed to practice in New York only and under	
15	the direct supervision of a partner of Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP who is an enrolled,	
16	active member of the District of Columbia Bar; application for admission to the D.C. Bar pending.	
17		
18	Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendants	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

26

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on March 5th, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notice of filing to all parties registered in the CM/ECF system for this matter. DATED: March 5th, 2020 /s/ Ross Siler Ross Siler